International Journal of Mathematical Analysis Vol. 11, 2017, no. 2, 85 - 95 HIKARI Ltd, www.m-hikari.com https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2017.611121

Mathematical Aspects on the Harmonic Index

Juan Carlos Hernández-Gómez

Unidad Académica de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero, Carlos E. Adame No.54 Col. Garita, 39650 Acalpulco Gro., México

Jesús Romero-Valencia

Unidad Académica de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero, Av. Lázaro Cárdenas s/n, Col. La Haciendita, 39087 Chilpancingo, Gro., México

R. Reyes Carreto

Unidad Académica de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero, Av. Lázaro Cárdenas s/n, Col. La Haciendita, 39087 Chilpancingo, Gro., México

Copyright © 2016 Juan Carlos Hernández-Gómez, Jesús Romero-Valencia and R. Reyes Carreto. This article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to obtain new inequalities involving the harmonic index H(G) to other well-known topological indices. Moreover, we show that the computation of the harmonic index is reduced to the computation of the primary subgraphs obtained by a general decomposition of G.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C07, 92E10

Keywords: Harmonic index, Zagreb index, Topological indices

1 Introduction

A topological index is defined as a number that represents a chemical structure in graph-theoretical terms via the molecular graph, this number is used to understand some physicochemical properties of chemical compounds. Many topological indices have been introduced and studied: the Geometric-Arithmetic (see, e.g., [9], [4], [12], [14]), sum-connectivity (see, e.g., [6], [17], [18]), 1st and 2nd Zagrev (see, e.g., [1], [2], [3], [7]) and Randić indices are a few examples of these concepts. Recall that the Randić index is, the best know index, defined as:

$$R(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\deg u \cdot \deg v}},$$

and many mathematical properties of this graph invariant have been studied since its definition, as we can see by the hundreds of papers written about it (see, e.g., [9], [10], [11], [13]), and the references therein).

Through the paper we consider graphs G = (V(G), E(G)) simple and connected, with n = |V(G)| and m = |E(G)|, we will denote by d_v the degree of the vertex v in V(G). The concept of harmonic index was introduced in graph theory recently, but it has shown to be useful (see, e.g., [5], [12], [15], [16] and the references therein). Given a graph G, the harmonic index of G is defined as the sum of $\frac{2}{d_v+d_u}$ of all edges $uv \in E(G)$. The aim of this paper is to obtain new inequalities involving the harmonic index H(G) and characterize graphs extremal with respect to them. In particular, we relate H(G) to other well-known topological indices and we show that the computation of the harmonic index is reduced to the computation of the primary subgraphs obtained by a general decomposition of G.

2 The harmonic index and decompositions

As usual, we say that a graph G' is a subgraph of G if $V(G') \subset V(G)$ and $E(G') \subset E(G)$. Given a graph G we say that a family of subgraphs $\{G_1, \ldots, G_r\}$ is a decomposition of G if the following conditions hold

- $G = G_1 \cup \cdots \cup G_r$ and
- any two of these subgraphs intersect themselves at most in a vertex, that is

$$G_i \cap G_j = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{or;} \\ \{v\}, & \text{for some } v \in V(G). \end{cases}$$

The subgraphs are called *primary subgraphs of the decomposition*.

For a graph G the harmonic index of G is defined as follows:

$$H(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2}{d_u + d_v},$$

where d_u denotes the degree of the vertex u in G.

For $v \in V(G)$ we denote by N(v) the set of neighbors of v, that is,

$$N(v) = \left\{ u \in V(G) \mid uv \in E(G) \right\}.$$

Given a decomposition $\{G_1, \ldots, G_r\}$ of G, we fix the following notation: \mathcal{W} is the set of vertices $v \in G$ belonging at least to two G_i 's, given a vertex $v \in \mathcal{W}$, G_{i_1}, \ldots, G_{i_k} is the set of primary subgraphs containing v and d_{i_j} the number of neighbors of v in G_{i_j} (thus $d_v = d_{i_1} + \cdots + d_{i_k}$). If $v \in \mathcal{W}$, we define W(v) as

$$W(v) = \sum_{u \in N_G(v) - W} \frac{2}{d_u + d_v} - \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{u \in N_{G_{i_j}}(v) - W} \frac{2}{d_{ju} + d_{i_jv}}$$

 \mathcal{Z} denotes the set of edges in G with both endpoints in \mathcal{W} . If $e = uv \in \mathcal{Z}$, then $e \in G_i$ for a unique i, and d_u^*, d_v^* denote the degrees of u, v in G_i , respectively. If $e = uv \in \mathcal{Z}$, we define Z(e) as

$$Z(e) = \frac{2}{d_u + d_v} - \frac{2}{d_u^* + d_v^*}.$$

The following result allows to compute the precise value of H(G) in terms of the harmonic indices of the primary subgraphs in any decomposition.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{G_1, \ldots, G_r\}$ be a decomposition of the graph G. Then

$$H(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} H(G_i) + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{W}} W(v) + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{Z}} Z(e).$$

Proof. First of all, note that if $u, v \notin W$ and $uv \in E(G)$, then the term in H(G) corresponding to uv in G is equal to its corresponding term in $\sum_{i=1}^{r} H(G_i)$. For each $v \in W$ and $u \notin W$ with $uv \in E(G)$, W(v) replaces in the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{r} H(G_i)$ the corresponding term to uv by its correct value as edge in G. This fact holds since the degree of u is d_u both in G and in its (unique) corresponding primary subgraph.

Finally, for each $u, v \in W$ with $uv \in E(G)$, Z(uv) replaces in the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{r} H(G_i)$ the corresponding term to uv by its correct value as edge in G.

In order to estimate the difference between H(G) and $\sum_{i=1}^{r} H(G_i)$, Proposition 2.1 will provide bounds for W(v) and Z(uv). We state first the following elemental facts.

Lemma 2.1. Given $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let g_a be the function defined as

$$g_a(x) = \frac{2}{a+x}.$$

Then g_a strictly decreases in $[1, \infty)$ and

$$|g'_a(x)| \le \frac{2}{(a+1)^2}$$

for every $x \in [1, \infty)$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $g:[a,b] \times [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$g(x,y) = \frac{2}{x+y}$$

with $0 < a \le b$. Then

$$\frac{1}{b} \le g(x, y) \le \frac{1}{a}$$

and g(x,y) = g(x',y') if and only if x + y = x' + y'.

Given a decomposition $\{G_1, \ldots, G_r\}$ of G and $e = uv \in \mathbb{Z}$, we say that e is maximal or minimal if $d_u = d_v$ or $d_u^* = d_v^*$, respectively.

Given a graph G, Δ and δ denote the maximum and minimum degrees of G, respectively.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\{G_1, \ldots, G_r\}$ be a decomposition of the graph G. Given $e \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by Δ_e, δ_e the maximum and minimum degrees of the primary subgraph G_i with $e \in G_i$, respectively. Then

1. $-1 \leq \frac{1}{\Delta} - 1 \leq Z(e) \leq 0$, for any $e \in \mathbb{Z}$; 2. $|W(v)| \leq \frac{2d_v}{\delta + 1}(d_v - 1)$, for every $v \in \mathbb{W}$.

Proof. We know that $d_x \ge d_x^*$ for any $x \in V$, thus $d_u + d_v \ge d_u^* + d_v^*$ which implies

$$Z(e) = \frac{2}{d_u + d_v} - \frac{2}{d_u^* + d_v^*} \le 0$$

for $e = uv \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover $d_x^* \ge 1$, for $x \in V$, implies $d_u^* + d_v^* \ge 2$ so that

$$\frac{2}{d_u + d_v} - 1 \le \frac{2}{d_u + d_v} - \frac{2}{d_u^* + d_v^*} = Z(e),$$

but $\Delta \geq d_x$, then

$$\frac{1}{\Delta} - 1 \le \frac{2}{d_u + d_v} - 1$$

and clearly $-1 \leq \frac{1}{\Lambda} - 1$.

For the second part the Mean Value Theorem and Lemma 2.1 give

$$|g_a(d_v) - g_a(d_{i_j})| = |g_a(t)|(d_v - d_{i_j})$$

88

for some $t \in (d_{i_i}, d_v)$. Taking $a = d_u$ we obtain

$$|g_{d_u}(d_v) - g_{d_u}(d_{i_j})| = |g_{d_u}(t)|(d_v - d_{i_j}),$$

but $|g'_a(x)| \le \frac{2}{(a+1)^2}$, thus

$$\left|\frac{2}{d_u + d_v} - \frac{2}{d_u + d_{i_j}}\right| \le \frac{2}{(d_u + 1)^2} (d_v - d_{i_j}) \le \frac{2}{(d_u + 1)^2} (d_v - 1)$$

finally $\delta \leq d_u$ gives

$$|W(v)| \le \frac{2d_v}{(\delta+1)^2}(d_v-1).$$

Now observe that since g_{d_u} decreases in $[d_{i_j}, \infty]$ and $d_{i_j} \leq d_v \leq d_u$, then

$$g_{d_u}(d_{i_j}) \ge g_{d_u}(d_v)$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{2}{d_u + d_{i_j}} \ge \frac{2}{d_u + d_v}$$

thus $W(v) \leq 0$, for every $v \in W$. The following result is a direct consequence of this fact and Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\{G_1, \ldots, G_r\}$ be a decomposition of the graph G. If $d_v \leq d_u$ for every $v \in W$ and $u \in N_G(v) - W$, then

$$H(G) \le \sum_{i=1}^{r} H(G_i)$$

Proof. As we said before, by Theorem 2.1 we know

$$H(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} H(G_i) + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{W}} W(v) + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{Z}} Z(e),$$

but $W(v), Z(e) \leq 0$ for $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $e \in \mathbb{Z}$, hence

$$H(G) \le \sum_{i=1}^{r} H(G_i).$$

Corollary 2.1. Let $\{G_1, \ldots, G_r\}$ be a decomposition of the graph G with minimum degree δ . If $d_v = \delta$ for every $v \in W$, then

$$H(G) \le \sum_{i=1}^r H(G_i).$$

3 Harmonic index versus other indices

The following theorems give relations between harmonic index and other indices. Recall that the *forgotten topological index* is defined as $F(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_u^3$ (see [8]).

Theorem 3.1. For any graph G,

$$m(\delta+2) - \frac{F(G)}{\Delta} \le H(G) \le \frac{F(G)}{2\delta^3}.$$

Proof. Since $(d_u - d_v)^2 + (d_u - 1)^2 + (d_v - 1)^2 \ge 0$, we have

$$(d_u^2 + d_v^2) + 1 \ge (d_u d_v) + (d_u + d_v).$$

Thus,

$$(d_u^2 + d_v^2) + 1 \ge (d_u d_v) + (d_u + d_v),$$

$$\frac{d_u^2 + d_v^2}{d_u + d_v} + \frac{1}{d_u + d_v} \ge \frac{d_u d_v}{d_u + d_v} + 1.$$

Using that

$$F(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_u^3 = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u^2 + d_v^2),$$

we get $\frac{d_u^2 + d_v^2}{d_u + d_v} \leq \frac{d_u^2 + d_v^2}{2\Delta}$ and $\frac{d_u d_v}{d_u + d_v} \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$. We have,

$$\sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u^2 + d_v^2}{2\Delta} + \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d_u + d_v} \ge \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\delta}{2} + \sum_{uv \in E(G)} 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{F(G)}{2\Delta} + H(G) \ge m(\delta + 2).$$

In another hand,

$$\frac{\frac{2}{d_u+d_v}}{d_u^2+d_v^2} = \frac{2}{(d_u+d_v)(d_u^2+d_v^2)}.$$

Since $d_u^2 + d_v^2 \ge 2d_u d_v$,

$$\frac{\frac{2}{d_u+d_v}}{d_u^2+d_v^2} \le \frac{1}{2\delta^3}.$$

For the following results, recall that *first and second Zagreb indices* are given by

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_v^2$$
 and $M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} d_u d_v$

Proposition 3.1. For any graph G,

$$F(G) + m \ge M_1(G) + M_2(G)$$

Proof. Since $(d_u - d_v)^2 + (d_u - 1)^2 + (d_v - 1)^2 \ge 0$, we have

$$\sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u^2 + d_v^2) + \sum_{uv \in E(G)} 1 \ge \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u d_v) + \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v).$$

Therefore,

$$F(G) + m \ge M_1(G) + M_2(G).$$

Theorem 3.2. For any graph G the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\delta m^2}{M_2(G)} \le H(G) \le \frac{M_2(G)}{\delta^3}.$$

And the equality is attained if and only if G is regular.

Proof. First note that $\frac{d_u+d_v}{d_ud_v} \leq \frac{2}{\delta}$. Since, $\sum_{uv \in E(G)} d_u d_v = M_2(G)$, we have

$$\sum_{uv\in E(G)} (d_u + d_v) \le \frac{2}{\delta} M_2(G)$$

and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$m^{2} = \left(\sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\sqrt{d_{u} + d_{v}}}{\sqrt{d_{u} + d_{v}}}\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d_{u} + d_{v}}\right) \left(\sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_{u} + d_{v})\right)$$

$$= \frac{M_{2}(G)}{\delta} \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2}{d_{u} + d_{v}} = \frac{M_{2}(G)H(G)}{\delta}.$$

Note that,

$$\frac{\frac{2}{d_u + d_v}}{d_u d_v} = \frac{2}{\left(d_u + d_v\right)\left(d_u d_v\right)} \le \frac{2}{2\sqrt{d_u d_v}\left(d_u d_v\right)} \le \frac{1}{\delta^3}$$

Thus, $\frac{2}{d_u+d_v} \leq \frac{d_u d_v}{\delta^3}$ and $H(G) \leq \frac{M_2(G)}{\delta^3}$. Furthermore, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality becomes an equality if and only if there is a non-zero constant μ such that, for every $uv \in E(G)$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u + d_v}} = \mu \sqrt{d_u + d_v},\tag{3.1}$$

that is, $d_u + d_v = \mu^{-1}$. Thus, for any $uv, uw \in E(G)$ we get

$$\mu^{-1} = d_u + d_v = d_u + d_w$$

which implies $d_v = d_w$. So equality 3.1 is equivalent to the following assertion: for each vertex $u \in V(G)$ every neighbor of u has the same degree. G connected implies that this holds if and only if G is regular.

The following elementary lemma will be useful for our purposes.

Lemma 3.1. Let $g: [a,b] \times [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function given by

$$g(x,y) = \frac{2\sqrt{xy}}{x+y},$$

with $0 < a \le b$. Then

$$\frac{2\sqrt{ab}}{a+b} \le g(x,y) \le 1.$$

The equality in the lower bound is attained if and only if either x = a and y = b or x = b and y = a; and the equality in the upper bound is attained if and only if x = y.

And now recall that the *Randić index* is given by

$$R(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d_u d_v}.$$

Theorem 3.3. For any graph G the following inequalities hold:

$$\frac{2\sqrt{\Delta\delta}}{\Delta+\delta}R(G) \le H(G) \le R(G) \quad and \quad H(G) \le \frac{n}{2}$$

And the equality in the first inequality is attained if and only if G is regular or (Δ, δ) -biregular; the equality in the other inequalities is attained if and only if G is regular.

Proof. By previous lemma, taking $a = \delta$ and $b = \Delta$ we have

$$\frac{2\sqrt{\Delta\delta}}{\Delta+\delta} \le \frac{\sqrt{d_u d_v}}{\frac{1}{2}(d_u + d_v)} = \frac{\frac{2}{d_u + d_v}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u d_v}}} \le 1,$$

for any $uv \in E(G)$, hence

$$\frac{2\sqrt{\Delta\delta}}{\Delta+\delta}\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_ud_v}} \le \frac{2}{d_u+d_v} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_ud_v}},$$

obtaining the first and second inequalities summing over $uv \in E(G)$.

This lemma also guaranties that the equality in the first inequality is attained if and only if for each $uv \in E(G)$ either $d_u = \delta$ and $d_v = \Delta$ or viceversa, which happens if and only if G is a regular graph or a (Δ, δ) -biregular graph.

Again the lemma asserts that equality in the second inequality is attained if and only if $d_u = d_v$ for each $uv \in E(G)$, which happens if and only if G is a regular graph.

Next, again as in the sum $\sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v)$ each term d_u appears exactly d_u times we get

$$\sum_{uv\in E(G)} \left(\frac{1}{d_u} + \frac{1}{d_v}\right) = \sum_{u\in V(G)} d_u \frac{1}{d_u} = n.$$

Using the fact that for every x, y > 0

$$\frac{2}{x+y} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} \right)$$

we obtain

$$H(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2}{d_u + d_v} \le \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{d_u} + \frac{1}{d_v} \right) = \frac{n}{2}.$$

Thus we have $H(G) = \frac{n}{2}$ if and only if

$$\frac{2}{d_u + d_v} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{d_u} + \frac{1}{d_v} \right)$$

for each $uv \in E(G)$, that is, $d_u = d_v$ for each $uv \in E(G)$.

Theorem 3.4. For any graph G the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{1}{2\Delta^2}M_1(G) \le H(G) \le \frac{1}{2\delta^2}M_1(G).$$

And the equality in each equality is attained if and only if G is regular. Proof. We know that

$$\frac{1}{\Delta^2} \le \frac{4}{(d_u + d_v)^2} = \frac{\frac{2}{d_u + d_v}}{\frac{d_u + d_v}{2}} \le \frac{1}{\delta^2}$$

for every $uv \in E(G)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{d_u + d_v}{2} \leq \frac{2}{d_u + d_v} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{d_u + d_v}{2}$$

and since

$$\sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_u^2 = M_1(G)$$

we obtain the inequalities by summing over $uv \in E(G)$.

The equality in the first inequality is attained if and only if $\frac{1}{2}(d_u + d_v) = \Delta$, for every $uv \in E(G)$, that is, $d_u = \Delta$ for every $u \in V(G)$. Analogously for the second inequality.

Acknowledgements. Supported in part by a grant from CONACYT (FOMIX-CONACyT-UAGro 249818-2014-C01), México.

References

- [1] V. Andova, M. Petrusevski, Variable Zagreb Indices and Karamata's Inequality, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, **65** (2011), 685-690.
- [2] B. Borovicanin, B. Furtula, On extremal Zagreb indices of trees with given domination number, Appl. Math. Comput., 279 (2016), 208-218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2016.01.017
- [3] K. C. Das, On comparing Zagreb indices of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 63 (2010), 433-440.
- [4] K. C. Das, On geometric-arithmetic index of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 64 (2010), 619-630.
- [5] H. Deng, S. Balachandran, S. K. Ayyaswamy, Y. B. Venkatakrishnan, On the harmonic index and the chromatic number of a graph, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 161 (2013), 2740-2744. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2013.04.003
- [6] Z. Du, B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, Minimum sum-connectivity indices of trees and unicyclic graphs of a given matching number, J. Math. Chem., 47 (2010), 842-855. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10910-009-9604-7
- [7] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, S. Ediz, On difference of Zagreb indices, *Discr. Appl. Math.*, **178** (2014), 83-88.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2014.06.011
- [8] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, A forgotten topological index, J. Math. Chem., 53 (2015), no. 4, 1184–1190. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10910-015-0480-z
- [9] I. Gutman, B. Furtula (Eds.), *Recent Results in the Theory of Randić Index*, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2008.
- [10] X. Li, Y. Shi, A survey on the Randić index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 59 (2008), 127-156.
- [11] M. Randić, Characterization of molecular branching, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97 (1975), 6609-6615. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00856a001
- [12] J. M. Rodríguez, J. M. Sigarreta, On the Geometric-Arithmetic Index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 74 (2015), 103-120.

- [13] J. A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, J. M. Sigarreta, On the Randić index and condicional parameters of a graph, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 54 (2005), 403-416.
- [14] J. M. Sigarreta, Bounds for the geometric-arithmetic index of a graph, Miskolc Math. Notes, 16 (2015), 1199-1212.
- [15] R. Wu, Z. Tang, H. Deng, A lower bound for the harmonic index of a graph with minimum degree at least two, *Filomat*, 27 (2013), 51-55. https://doi.org/10.2298/fil1301051w
- [16] L. Zhong, The harmonic index for graphs, Appl. Math. Letters, 25 (2012), 561-566. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2011.09.059
- [17] B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, On general sum-connectivity index, J. Math. Chem., 47 (2010), 210-218. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10910-009-9542-4
- [18] B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, Relations between the product and sumconnectivity indices, *Croat. Chem. Acta*, 85 (2012), 363-365. https://doi.org/10.5562/cca2052

Received: November 27, 2016; Published: January 25, 2017